DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 062 110

SE 012 651

AUTHOR

Dreyfus, Daniel A.

TITLE

A Definition of the Scope of Environmental

Management.

INSTITUTION

Congress of the U.S., Washington, D.C. Senate

Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

PUB DATE

Jan 70

NOTE

29p.; Committee Print, 91st Congress, 2nd Session

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0_65 HC-\$3_29

DESCRIPTORS

Administrative Policy: Environmental Education;

*Federal Government; Federal Programs; *Management;

Natural Resources: *Policy: *Reports: Resource

Materials

ABSTRACT

This report is a condensation of an unpublished paper by Daniel Dreyfus, a member of the professional staff of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs. Dreyfus develops a classification system of environmental management activities which has as its purpose to partially remove the present disagreement upon the scope of environmental management and lack of commonly accepted definitions of its concepts. (Author/CP)



91st Congress } 2d Session

COMMITTEE PRINT

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. **EDUCATION & WELFARE** OFFICE OF EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE PERSON OR THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE PERSON OR OR THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINAL PROPERTY OR THE PERSON O INATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPIN-IONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDU-CATION POSITION OR POLICY.

A DEFINITION OF THE SCOPE

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

PREPARED AT THE REQUEST OF

HENRY M. JACKSON, Chairman COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND INSULAR AFFAIRS UNITED STATES SENATE



Printed for the use of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE **WASHINGTON: 1970**

39-478

COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND INSULAR AFFAIRS

HENRY M. JACKSON, Washington, Chairman

CLINTON P. ANDERSON, New Mexico ALAN BIBLE, Nevada FRANK CHURCH, Idaho FRANK E. MOSS, Utah QUENTIN N. BURDICK, North Dakota GEORGE McGOVERN, South Dakota GAYLORD NELSON, Wisconsin LEE METCALF, Montana MIKE GRAVEL, Alaska

GORDON ALLOTT, Colorado
LEN B. JORDAN, Idaho
PAUL J. FANNIN, Arizona
CLIFFORD P. HANSEN, Wyoming
MARK O. HATFIELD, Oregon
TED STEVENS, Alaska
HENRY BELLMON, Oklahoma

Junear

Sugar S

JERRY T. VERKLER, Staff Director
STEWART FRENCH, Chief Counsel
WILLIAM J. VAN NESS, Special Counsel
CHARLES COOK, Minority Counsel
DANIEL DREYFUS, Professional Staff Member

(II)



CONTENTS

	Page
Memorandum of the Chairman	Ā
Introduction	Ī
The environmental management concept	3
Development of an operational definition of environmental management	5
Table I—Classification of activities within the scope of environmental	_
mangement	8
Discussion of the classification system	11
Federal programs within the scope of envirinmental management	13
Table II—Preliminary compilation of agencies which administer programs	
within the scope of environmental management	16
	25
Bibliography	20

(III)



MEMORANDUM OF THE CHAIRMAN

To Members of the Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs:

With the recent enactment of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Public Law 91–190), a new concept of planning and management is emerging within the Federal Government. In the future, Government will be called upon to recognize the interrelationships of the life support system which is the environment and will need to adopt a systems approach for the management of governmental activities which have environmental impacts.

There is now a need to arrive at commonly accepted definitions of the concepts involved in environmental management.) As in any systems study, the initial objective must be to define the boundaries of the system itself. (There is no present agreement upon the scope of environmental management, and discussion among those who are interested in the field will be necessary to evolve one. This report is

offered as one basis for the discussion.

The material herein is a condensation of an unpublished paper by Mr. Daniel Dreyfus, a member of the professional staff of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs. It develops a classification system of environmental management activities. Because of the inquiries which the committee has received relating to this subject and the interest which has been evidenced in the paper, I have directed that the paper be reproduced as a committee print so that it will be readily available to the interested parties.

HENRY M. JACKSON, Chairman.



¹Prepared for a seminar in governmental administration under the direction of Dr. Lowell H. Hattery at the American University, January 1969.

A DEFINITION OF THE SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

PREPARED BY

DANIEL A. DREYFUS
Professional Staff Member

AT THE REQUEST OF

HENRY M. JACKSON, Chairman
COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND
INSULAR AFFAIRS
January 1970

(VII)

INTRODUCTION

If the implementation of a national policy for the environment is to be effective throughout the Federal administrative establishment, it must be founded upon a clear definition of the scope of activities, particularly Federal activities, which are within the purview of the policy. Federal efforts to manage the environment must be designed to influence the performance of a wide variety of programs without unnecessarily adding to the administrative burden or disrupting the effectiveness of Federal functions. The programs which are involved must be identified with precision so that they can be integrated into

the design of the environmental management mechanism.

In the broadest sense of the term, "Environmental Policy" would encompass the entire body of Federal law from the Constitution to the least significant administrative ruling. "Environmental Management" might include every action of Government. Obviously, operational definitions must be devised which are considerably narrower in scope than this broadest concept. There is a need to approach the work of the Federal Government with regard to the system of the physical environment, but if this approach is to be valuable it cannot be too diffuse. Attention must be concentrated upon those aspects which have important implications for the environmental system. An operational definition of environmental management must be developed which encompasses those aspects of Federal action in which environmental considerations are paramount. It might further extend to areas of action in which environmental considerations are significant to decisionmaking. It cannot extend to every area of action in which any environmental consideration is involved.





THE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT CONCEPT

In recent years, concern for the impacts of population growth and technological advances upon the environment has been growing among political and scientific leaders and among the public at large. There has been a growing realization that every impact which our actions have upon the environment will inevitably affect the conditions of our existence. There is clear evidence that ill-considered actions of Government and industry have sometimes had disastrous environmental consequences which initially were neither appreciated nor controlled. There is growing awareness of the costs which we have incurred by past actions and growing fear of the possible irreversible damages from future actions.

Until recently, Government activities to manage the environment have taken the form of problem-oriented, single-purpose programs. Many of these activities have been initiated in response to the sense of urgency brought about by critical conditions. The efforts at the Federal, State, and local levels to achieve control over air and water

pollution are examples.

More recently, the comprehensive nature of the problem has been recognized. The environment is a physical system with intricate interrelationships among its factors. Control of air pollution has implications for water quality—witness the tradeoff of air pollution for thermal pollution as nuclear power plants are substituted for fossil fuel plants. Patterns of land use significantly influence nearly all environmental pressures. No single aspect of environmental management can be treated separately from the others. Environmental management is a system analysis task.

Many Federal departments and agencies have recognized the comprehensive nature of the problem and have established high-level staff positions or groups to provide an overview of the environmental aspects of actions within their jurisdiction. The Departments of the Interior and Transportation and the Federal Power Commission are examples. Many State governments are considering the establishment of environmental policy groups. California and New York, for ex-

ample, are taking such action.

The most significant action at the Federal level has been the enactment of the National Environmental Policy Act which was approved on January 1, 1970. The provisions of this measure will establish the environment as a top-level organizational and managerial concept in the executive branch. It is clear that the future will find public administration focusing on environmental management to an ever greater degree.



(3)

DEVELOPMENT OF AN OPERATIONAL DEFINITION OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

Thus far, there exists no recognized discipline which is concerned with environmental science or environmental management in a comprehensive sense. There are many diverse disciplines which consider some aspect of environmental study and control to be within their purview. They include architecture, engineering, biology, ecology, meteorology, and many others. Because each of these disciplines concentrates on only a part of the environmental system, there are few comprehensive statements or classifications of environmental programs, activities, effects, or conditions found in the literature. There is, however, a significant body of published commentary upon environmental management.

One approach to developing an operational definition of the scope of environmental management is to survey the commentaries of those who are interested in the field for indications of what they perceive the boundaries of the environmental system to be. The classification system presented in this report is based upon such a survey. The principal document used was the transcript of the "Joint House-Senate Colloquium to discuss a National Policy for the Environment," which was held in Washington, D.C., in 1968. This document encompasses the most explicit collection of commentaries on the subject which is available. Other sources listed in the bibliography to

this report were also screened.

The literature was searched for indicator words or phrases. Mention of any specific area of environmental concern, examples of environmental problems, discussions of existing or proposed programs, or other indicators were noted for which the context appeared to show that the author considered the item to be within the scope of the environmental system. The indicators were collected without regard to frequency of occurrence and without any preestablished

classification system.

A rough classification system was then devised and additional indicators added until the rate of discovery became insignificant. The indicators varied in character. Some were objectives to be sought (i.e., community identity, tranquillity, diversity of experience); some were programs which exist or are thought to be desirable (i.e., recycling of resources, highway beautification, population planning); the majority were expressions of environmental problems or problem indicies (i.e., population impaction, sonic boom, rural slums, soil exhaustion, oil spills, excessive stimuli, erosion of quality). Many of the indicators were difficult to characterize (i.e., economics of values, biochemical processes); and perhaps were useful only for their connotations. The



¹ U.S. Senate, "Joint House-Senate Colloquim To Discuss a National Policy for the Environment," 90th Cong., second sess. (Washington: Government Printing Office, 1968)

groupings which were immediately apparent were those of problems, goals, and programs. It is possible, of course, to redefine a problem in terms of a goal or vice versa, and to associate either with a program (existing or potential). It is also possible to describe programs in terms of problems or goals.

The objective of the classification system being designed was the identification of Federal activity within the scope of environmental management. The indicators, therefore, were sorted accordingly to a

classification which was program or activity criented.

Inspection of the indicators further revealed that many of them, particularly those associated with the science of ecology, were extremely broad in scope (i.e., behavior of organisms—loss of ecosystems). It was necessary, therefore, to consider the intent of the commentators in expressing the scope of environmental management in such terms.

The commentary indicates that a certain group of Federal programs or activities are clearly considered to be concerned with aspects of environmental management. Environmental factors are perceived to be paramount objectives in policy formulation and decisionmaking for these activities. Some of these activities, such as the Federal water pollution control program, were initiated as a result of recognized problems which are primarily environmental. Other programs, such as the Federal hydroelectric power programs, may have had different geneses, but in their present state they are, in the view of many commentators, clearly and intimately associated with environmental factors.

A national policy for the environment, if it is to be effective, would need to have an operational impact upon such programs. Environmental management might be expected to change or reinforce the program goals, set objectives, perhaps result in redirection of effort. It would do so in an immediate and continuing manner.

With regard to such programs, environmental management implies procedures for coordination, review, or control of decisions and ongoing activities. For policy implementation to be effective, the scope

of such strong influence must be limited as much as possible.

Fortunately, environmental management need not be so strongly concerned with the entire range of activities having environmental implications. A second group of activities may be identified which also are considered to include factors of environmental interest or concern. These factors, however, are not paramount in establishing the program objectives and making program decisions, and at present the activities are not perceived to be related to critical environmental problems.

Environmental management therefore, would not be expected to have any substantial, immediate, and continuing impact upon such activities. There would be no need for intimate coordination or for control over decisions and objectives. Many of the Federal military

programs might be placed in this group.

In order to adequately influence the environmental aspects of programs involving activities in this second group, only a monitoring or surveillance mechanism would be required. Review of major decisions or new directions in program activities would provide an opportunity for the consideration of the environmental implications of actions.



Influence over the actions could be provided through opportunities to comment to decisionmakers or, in critical cases, to appeal decisions to

higher authorities.

A third group of activities is brought within the scope of environmental management particularly through the broad purview of the discipline of ecology. These are the data-collecting and research activities which may shed light upon environmental problems—present or potential—and their solutions. Examples are the mineral survey programs of the Geological Survey and the data-gathering and research programs of the Weather Bureau. Although environmental management would be expected to have an immediate and continuing impact upon the conduct of such programs, they can be managed by means other than control. The most important consideration would be communication of data and of needs for data.

Data developed by the programs must be made available for application to environmental problems and decisions. Gaps in the necessary data collection and research for environmental management must be closed by offering advice to the agencies performing the studies and

perhaps by making financial support available.

In summary, the scope of environmental management can be qualified by defining three groups of activities or programs upon which environmental management will have varying degrees of influence:

1. Activities with environmental management objectives.

2. Activities with aspects requiring environmental surveillance

3. Activities which include environmental research and data collection.

The indicator words and phrases provided a basis to construct a system of classification of activities which are related to one or more of the groups listed. The construction of such a classification system required the translation of all of the indicators into activity terms. For example, "Hospitality for Intellectual Needs" is an objective which might be characterized by an activity of "Cultural Stimulation." "Endangered Species" is a problem which can be encompassed within the activity of "Fish and Wildlife Conservation."

In addition to the translation of indicators, construction of the classification system required a logical division of activities and the subdivision or combination of indicators into appropriate classes. It also required the addition of activity names which were necessary to round out the structure of the classification and the judicious elimination of indicators which occurred infrequently and were widely sepa-

rated from other categories.2

Table I presents a classification of activities which are considered to be within the scope of environmental management based upon the analysis described.



^{*}The only categories of indicators which were eliminated were "social justice" and "welfare." The indicators involved only occurred in a few instances, the context left doubt as to the user's intent to define them as environmental activities, and their inclusion would broaden the scope of the problem tremendously.

Table I—Classification of Activities Within the Scope of ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

I. BENEWABLE RESOURCES CONSERVATION

- A. Pollution control:
 - 1. Air pollution control.
 - 2. Water pollution control.
- B. Agriculture:
 - 1. Agricultural production research and assistance.
 - 2. Agricultural soil conservation.
- C. Water resources conservation:
 - 1. Water supply.
 - 2. Water quality control.
 - 3. Saline water conversion.
- D. Land management:
 - 1. Public land use management.
 - 2. Watershed management.
 - 3. Forestry research and management.
 - 4. Wetland and estuary conservation.
- E. Marine resources conservation:
 - 1. Oceanography.
 - 2. Commercial fishery conservation.
- F. Atmospheric sciences:
 - 1. Basic meteorology.
 - 2. Weather modification.
- G. Space exploration and research:
 - 1. Space research.
 - 2. Space vehicle construction and operation.

II. NONRENEWABLE RESOURCES CONSERVATION

- A. Urban land utilization:
 - 1. Urban planning.
 - 2. Urban redevelopment.
 - 3. Urban public recreation.
 - 4. Utility engineering and construction.
 - 5. Zoning.
- B. Nonurban land utilization:
 - 1. Surveying and mapping.
 - 2. Rural community stabilization, planning, and development.
 - 3. New city planning and development.
- C. Transportation:
 - 1. Urban transit planning and development.
 - 2. Highway planning, safety, and beautification.

 - 3. Air transport planning and development.
 4. Marine and inland waterway transport planning and development.
 - 5. Traffic control and safety.
- 6. Railroad regulation and safety.

ut niturius et la completa de la co Esta de transferir la completa de l Completa de la comp

- D. Energy:
 - 1. Thermal-electric plant siting, design, and operation.
 - 2. Electric power transmission line siting, design, construction, and operation.
 - 3. Fossil fuel exploration, inventory, and research.
 - 4. Heat utilization and dispersion.
 - 5. Nuclear power research, development, and operation.
 - 6. Hydroelectric power research, development, and operation.
- E. Mineral resources conservation:
 - 1. Mineral exploration and inventory.
 - 2. Materials research and development.
 - 3. Solid waste storage, recycling, and disposal.
 - 4. Mining technology research and development.
 - 5. Mineral extraction research and development.

III. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH AND WELL-BEING

- A. Physiological health and well-being:
 - 1. Sanitation.
 - 2. Radiation control.
 - 3. Industrial and domestic health and safety.
 - 4. Environmental health protection.
 - Contagious disease control.
 - 6. Pest control.
 - 7. Food and drug regulation.
 - 8. Flood control.
 - 9. Natural disaster warning and relief.
 - 10. Population control.
- B. Psychological health and well-being:
 - 1. Noise suppression.
 - 2. Relief of acoustic, mechanical, and interpersonal tension stresses.
 - 3. Promotion of community identity.
 - 4. Population dispersion.
 - 5. Environmental education.

IV. PROMOTION OF AMENITIES

- A. Outdoor recreation:
 - 1. Public recreation, planning, and development.
 - 2. Wild lands preservation.
 - Sport fish and wildlife conservation.
 - 4. Conservation and promotion of natural beauty.
- B. Cultural stimulation:
 - Urban beautification.
 - 2. Historic preservation.
 - 3. Enhancement of architectural and engineering design.
 - 4. Maintenance of continuity of environmental associations.
 - 5. Provision for diversity of experience and life style.

V. ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH

- A. Human ecology:
 - 1. Basic research in human ecology.



DISCUSSION OF THE CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

The classification system, shown in table I, groups the activities generally according to the nature of environmental problems involved. The two major headings entitled "Renewable" and "Nonrenewable Resources Conservation" are based upon concepts which have been commonly used in regard to natural resource conservation. The earliest movements for Federal action regarding the environment were associated with fears of exhaustion of resources and led to the renewable resources concepts of forest management and agricultural practices. Later, fears for exhaustion of nonrenewable mineral resources led to Federal exploration and inventory programs and research into extraction processes.

Separation of resources into renewable and nonrenewable categories must, of course, be somewhat arbitrary. Soil, for example, can be mis-

used to the point where reclamation is impracticable.

The third major category, "Environmental Health and Well-being," centers around the well-established governmental function of public health. This field certainly reflects some of man's earliest environmental concerns such as sanitation and contagious disease. It has traditionally been differentiated from natural resources, although often, as in water supply matters, the division line is not easily defined.

In this classification, traditional public health concerns have been expanded by the addition of concerns for physiological and psychological factors in the environment which appear to have effects at least

bordering on the pathological.

The fourth category, "Promotion of Amenities," includes some of the most recent areas of concern in the environmental field. These activities are those having environmental implications which, although not essential to the continued healthy existence of man under conditions of tolerable stress, are vital to the achievement of a higher quality of intellectual and cultural existence.

The fifth category, "Ecological Research," is necessary to characterize programs, particularly of a data-gathering nature, which are so broad in scope and implication for environmental matters that they would be involved in many categories without any clearly defined emphasis. An example of such a program is the earth resources observation satellite program of the Environmental Sciences Services

Administration.

Many of the activities listed have implications in more than one category. For example, air transport has important implications with regard not only to nonrenewable resource conservation (land use), but also to renewable resources (air pollution), environmental health and well-being (noise suppression), and promotion of amenities (intrusion over wilderness areas).

It is not possible to devise a classification system in which each activity lies exclusively within a single heading unless the activities are subdivided into extremely narrow classes. If numerous narrow classes of activities are utilized, the system becomes more difficult to comprehend in its entirety and thus less useful as a definition of the scope of the problem. Furthermore, as the activity classes are made narrow, a specific program will encompass more of them. Broad activity classes, therefore, are used to retain ease of comprehension and to afford less complex relations among activity classes and programs.

An alternative method of grouping broad activity classes would be to include the activity under every heading in which it has implications. This method would provide a more rigorous classification scheme, but would extend and complicate the format of the listing.

Each activity, therefore, is listed under the heading which is deemed to reflect its most significant attributes or which contains other very closely related activities. It is recognized that each activity may have

implications within other headings.

The list of activities in table I which are numbered with Arabic numerals is considered to encompass the scope of environmental management. The headings under which activities are grouped are intended merely to provide a useful format for sorting and considering data. No analytical power is claimed for the heading scheme.

n de la composition La composition de la La composition de la

to deliver the transmission of the first of the second second second and the first of the first of the second seco

to applie grant the second subtance we get the second in the second second

ម្រើប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្រជាពលរបស់ ប្

of the Marie at the few arms but to a person of the control of the

ានកំណាក់ មានមានមានប្រា**ង្គាំ** ម៉ែង ២២៤២ សេចិលសាក់ ប្

FEDERAL PROGRAMS WITHIN THE SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

In order to test the utility of the classification system, a preliminary survey of Federal programs was made. The compilation was developed from available published data. The appendix to the "Budget of the United States Government" for fiscal year 1969 was used as a basic comprehensive list of existing Federal programs. The budget list was supplemented by various more detailed program descriptions.

Programs were collected on cards. Each program was coded with (1) the outline identification for the activities in the classification system with which it is related and (2) a code number for each activity reflecting the degree of influence which environmental management would have upon it. The descriptions of degrees of influence which were developed earlier were restated in terms of the program attributes

 \mathbf{as} follows:

Code

Description

1. Program involves planning, construction, operation or management, regulatory, or licensing functions (or grants o loans for such functions) which have significant and recurring implications with the environmental activity cited.

2. Program involves planning, construction or management, regulatory, or licensing functions (or grants or loans for such functions) which have implications with the activity cited, but significant implication is infrequently an important factor in program administration.

3. Program involves data gathering or research which is relevant to the activity cited.

The format of a typical card follows:

II C2-1 Highway Beautification IV B3--1 Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation.

In the upper left corner, the activities associated with the program are noted. The first entry, II C2, relates to the activity classification system:

II. NONRENEWABLE RESOURCES CONSERVATION

C. Transportation:

2. Highway planning, safety, and beautification.



The number after the dash indicates the degree of influence which environmental management would be expected to have on the program as a result of its implications with this activity. In this example the number 1 indicates that environmental considerations are quite significant and a high degree of environmental management involvement exists. The top line of the text is the program title or identification. The lower lines are the administering agency identification, in this case the administration and department.

The identification of program entities was made complicated by the lack of uniformity among the agencies' designation of programs. In some agencies, notably the newly organized ones, program designations are derived from the enabling legislation provisions. Where the legislation is recent and uncomplicated by amendments, the program designations tend to be objective oriented, clearly differentiated, and

easily associated with the activity classification system.

The older agencies which operate under a cumulative body of law and regulation, however, tend to have multiple-purpose programs without clearly differentiated objectives. The program designations used by these agencies for budget purposes are often arbitrary. They may separate planning and construction, for example, where both of these activities are associated with the same spectrum of activities.

As a result, some programs have significant implications for a large number of activities, while others are much narrower. This complexity is not considered to be a serious problem for the purposes of this classification. If the programs are managed as cohesive entities, they will have to be considered as entities for purposes of environmental management also. The complexity of some programs may be an important factor in design of the environmental management mechanism, but the inconsistency in program scope does not adversely affect the usefulness of the classification system.

The following general guides for assessing programs were de-

veloped:

- 1. Each program was examined to determine if it has implications for the activities listed in the classification system. If a significant implication was believed to exist, the activity was noted as well as the code number describing the degree of influence (or nature of implication).
- 2. If the activity is only incidental to the objectives of the program, and the performance of the activity within the program is not a significant portion of the national scope of the activity, the implication was not noted. For example, the administration of military installations includes water supply activities. However, these activities are neither a significant factor in the objectives of the military installation program nor in the national water supply activity as a whole. The implication, therefore, was not noted.
- 3. Where a program has implications regarding a large number of activities (for example, construction of large water storage reservoirs), it was only necessary to note the activities which are most significantly involved in the program's objectives. The interrelationship of activities in the classification is recognized, and environmental management of the program regarding a number



of major activities would also provide for the less significant implications.

4. For the purposes of this study, only domestic programs were considered.

RESULTS

This preliminary review of existing Federal programs resulted in a set of cards for about 150 programs. The number is not significant, of course, because of the lack of any uniform definition of a program. In some instances, the agency objective was sufficiently well defined and homogeneous that the agency and program were synonymous (i.e., Federal Crop Insurance Corporation). Other agencies encompassed many programs.

A list of the agencies which administer the programs was compiled from the cards (see table II). This list provides insights into the magnitude of the coordination and control, surveillance, and data gathering and research efforts which will be necessary to implement

a program of environmental management.

In all, 63 Federal agencies included within 10 of the 13 executive departments, as well as 16 of the independent agencies were found to have programs within the scope of environmental management.

Table II repeats the activity classification of table I with the agencies which have relevant programs listed under each activity. For each agency, the code or codes are noted indicating the degree of implication which environmental management has for the agency's programs. It is important to note that the data were collected by program. There may be a number of programs involved within each listed agency, and a particular agency also may have programs which are not involved with environmental management. The use of a sency names in table II simply provides indications of the results pout the significantly greater sorting and listing necessary to transfer the programs from cards to tabular form.

The sole activity in the classification system for which no program

was identified was that of:

II. NONRENEWABLE RESOURCES CONSERVATION

A. Urban land utilization.

5. Zoning.

Land-use zoning has traditionally been a responsibility of local government, and it is not surprising that no Federal program is established with zoning as an objective. Increasingly, however, zoning as a tool of land-use planning is being discussed as a primary means for environmental control. Indirect Federal action has been suggested regarding flood plain zoning and coastal zone management. The zoning activity therefore appears to be a valid activity and a possible indication of a need for new programs.



TABLE II.—PRELIMINARY COMPILATION OF AGENCIES WHICH ADMIN-ISTER PROGRAMS WITHIN THE SCOPE OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGE-MENT

ACTIVITY CLASSIFICATION

I. RENEWABLE RESOURCES CONSERVATION

A. Pollution control:	
1. Air pollution control: Implication	18 1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l, 3
(b) Research and Development, Department of Defense	., 2
2. Water pollution control:	_
(a) Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, De-	
	3, 1
(b) Farmers Home Administration, Department of Agri-	-, <u>-</u>
culture	1
B. Agriculture:	-
1. Agricultural production research and assistance:	
(a) Tennessee Valley Authority 1	, 3
	., š
(c) Farmers Home Administration, Department of Agri-	., -
culture	2
(d) Statistical Reporting Service, Department of Agriculture	3
(e) Economic Research Service, Department of Agriculture_	3
	, š
(g) Cooperative State Research Service, Department of Agriculture	3
(h) Agricultural Research Service, Department of Agriculture	3
2. Agricultural soil conservation:	ð
	. 3
(b) Geological Survey, Department of Interior	3
(c) Bureau of Indian Affairs, Department of Interior	1
(d) Farmers Home Administration, Department of Agricul-	<u>.E</u>
ture	2
(e) Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, De-	-
partment of Agriculture	1
partment of Agriculture	, ż
C. Water resources conservation:	, 0
1. Water supply:	
(a) Water Resources Council	3
(a) Water Resources Council	3
(c) Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, Department of Interior	3
	, 3
	3
	3
(g) Farmers Home Administration, Department of Agricul-	
	2
(h) Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.	-
Department of Agriculture	1
(i) Soil Conservation Service, Department of Agriculture	ī
and the control of t	

¹The agency administers programs which have the following implications to the environmental activity:

1=Continuous significant functions requiring control and coordination.
2=Occasional significant functions requiring surveillance.
3=Relevant data collection and research functions.



C.	Water resource	es conservation—Continued:	Implications
	2. Water qua	ater Resources Council	3
	(a) W	ennessee Valley Authority	
	(b) T	ffice of Water Resources Research, Department	
	7 7	torior	
	(d) F	ederal Water Pollution Control Administratio	n, De-
	4	partment of Interiorureau of Reclamation, Department of Interior	1,3
	(e) B	ational Park Service, Department of Interior	
	(a) C	loological Survey. Department of Interior	
	$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ h \end{pmatrix}$ F	ublic Health Service, Health, Education, and	1 44.61-
		£ana	
	(i) Co	orps of Engineers, Department of Defense	1,0
	3. Saline wat	ter conversion : Vater Resources Council	3
	(a) V	ffice of Saline Water, Department of Interior	1.3
	(a) T	turonu of Reclamation. Department of Interior==	1,3
	(8) (office of Business Economics, Department of	Com-
	(4)	merce	3
D.	Land Manager	nent:	
	1 Dublia lon	d use management:	annina
	(a) E	ederal Field Commission for Development Pl	anning 1
	44.	in Alaska	1
	(b) I	Sureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Departs	
	• •	Intonio-	
	(ā) ī	tures of Mines. Department of Interior	1, 3
	(a) C	loological Survey. Department of Interior	0
	(#\ C	affice of Torritories. Denartment of Interior	
	(g') $\tilde{\mathbf{H}}$	Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, Department	OI III-
		torior	<u>1</u>
	(h) I	Bureau of Indian Affairs, Department of Interior	:
	(i) B	sureau of Land Management, Department of Inte	rior 1 1
	(j)	orest Service, Department of Agriculture	
	2. Watershe	d management: Water Resources Council	3
	(a) (b) (b)	Seological Survey, Department of Interior	
	(0) (Soil Conservation Service, Department of Agricul	ture 1
	2 Forestry	research and management:	
	(~) 5	Connegge Velley Authority	
	<i>(</i> %) 1	Rundou of Indian Affairs. Department of Interio	L
	(4) 1	Sureau of Land Management. Department of Inte	LIOL T
	(d)	Cooperative State Research Service, Departm	3 3
	4 200 13 2	Agriculture	V
	4. Wetland	U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Transportation	1
	$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$	Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Departs	шенг от
	• •	Tickeriter	
	(c) I	gyrean of Commercial Fisheries, Department of Li	nterior_ 3
	13\ 1	Caplagian Survey Department of Interior	
	(e) (Corps of Engineers, Department of Defense	1, 3
E	. Marine resou	rces conservation :	
	1. Oceanogr	aphy:	3
	(a)	aphy: National Science Foundation	3
	(0)	Purpose of Mines Department of Interior	1, 3
	(0) 1 (A)	Dengriment of Defense	
	$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ a \end{pmatrix}$	Department of Defense Environmental Science Services Administration,	Depart-
		ment of Commerce	9
	2. Commerc	ial fishery conservation : National Science Foundation	
	(a)	National Science Foundation	3
	(b)	Department of StateBureau of Commercial Fisheries, Department of I	1, 3 nterior_ 1, 3
	(c) 1	Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Department of F	Trelion to







F.	Atmospheric sciences: 1. Basic meteorology: Impli
	(a) National Aeronautics and Space Administration
	(a) National Aeronauties and Space Auministration
	(b) U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Transportation
	(c) Department of Defense
	(d) Environmental Science Services Administration, Depart-
	ment of Commerce
	2. Weather modification:
	(a) Water Resources Council
	(b) Bureau of Reclamation, Department of Interior
	(c) Department of Defense
١.	Space exploration and research:
•	1. Space research:
	(a) National Aeronautics and Space Administration
	(b) Environmental Science Services Administration, Depart-
	ment of Commerce
	2. Space vehicle construction and operation :
	(a) National Aeronautics and Space Administration
	II. NONRENEWABLE RESOURCES CONSERVATION
	Tirban land utilization.
•	1. Urban planning:
	4. Orban planning;
	(a) National Capital Planning Commission
	(b) National Transportation Safety Board, Department of
	Transportation
	Transportation (c) Federal Railroad Administration, Department of Trans-
	portation
	(d) Federal Highway Administration, Department of Trans-
	portation
	portation
	portation
	(f) Federal Housing Administration, Housing and Urban
	Downloans Administration, Housing and Orban
	Development
	(g) Office of Urban Technology and Research, Housing and
	Uroan Development
	Urban Development (h) Model Cities Administration, Housing and Urban De-
	velopment
	(i) Office of Intergovernmental Relations and Planning
	Assistance, Housing and Urban Development
	(j) Department of Commerce, Economic Development Ad-
	ministration
	(a) Office of Urban Technology and Research, Housing and
	Tirban Dayelonmont
	Urban Development (b) Model Cities Administration, Housing and Urban De-
	vo model Ories Administration, Housing and Urban De-
	velopment
	(c) Housing Assistance Administration, Housing and Urban
	Development
	(d) Renewal Assistance Administration, Housing and Urban
	Development
	(e) Economic Development Administration, Department of
	Commerce
	3. Urban public recreation:
	(a) National Capital Planning Commission
	(b) Land and Facilities Development Administration, Hous-
	ing and Urban Development
	(c) Renewal Assistance Administration, Housing and Urban
	Development
	Development
	4. Utility engineering and construction:
	(a) Federal Railroad Administration, Department of Trans-
	portation
	(b) Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, De-
	partment of the Interior
	(c) Office of Intergovernmental Relations and Planning As-
	sistance, Housing and Urban Development
	(d) Land and Facilities Development Administration, Hous-
	ing and Irban Development Administration, Hous-



		tilization—Continued	
5. Zo	ming:		
	(non	ıe).	•
. Nonur	ban-la:	nd utilization:	Tonn Haati
1. Su	ırveyin	g and mapping:	Implication
	(a)	National Aeronautics and Space Administration	
	(b)	Geological Survey, Department of the Interior	
2. R	ral co	mmunity stabilization, planning, and development	• •
	(a)	Tennessee Valley Authority	
	(b)	Appalachian Regional Commission	
	(c)	Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interio)r
	(đ)	Bureau of Indian Affairs, Department of the Inte	rior
	(e)	Federal Housing Administration, Housing and	Oroan
		Development	
	(f)	Office of Urban Technology and Research, Housing	ig and
		Urban Development	n Do-
	(g)	Model Cities Administration, Housing and Urba	
		velopment	ont of
	(h)	Economic Development Administration, Departm	ent or
		Commerce Description Description of	A orvi
	(i)		Agtr
		culture Rural Electric Administration, Department of	A gri.
	(3)		44844
	(7.)	Commodity Credit Corporation, Department of A	gricul.
	(16)		
	(7)	Rural Community Development Service, Departm	ent of
	(l)	Agriculture	
	(m)	Agriculture Farmer Cooperative Service, Department of	Agri-
	(m)	culture	
9 N/a	aw offer	planning and development:	
9. 146	SW CLUY	Federal Railroad Administration, Department of '.	Trans.
	(a)	portation	TIGHS.
	733	Geological Survey, Department of the Interior	
	(0)	Federal Housing Administration, Housing and	Tirban
	(6)	Development	
-	(#\	Model Cities Administration, Housing and Urba	n De-
	(4)	velopment	
	(e)	Economic Development Administration, Departm	ent of
	, (0)	Commerce	
Trans	nortat:	ion • 1 and the control of the stream and the stream of th	
1. TI	rhan ta	ransit planning and development:	
<u></u>	(a)	National Transportation Safety Board, Departm	ent of
		Transportation	
-	(7)	Federal Railroad Administration, Department of	Trans-
		portation	
-	(c)	Federal Highway Admiristration, Department of	Trans-
		portation	
1.1	(A)	Urban Transportation Administration. Housin	g and
		Urban DevelopmentTransportation Research (Secretary's Office), D	
	(e).	Transportation Research (Secretary's Office), D	epart-
		ment of Transportation	
2. H	ighway	v nlanning, safety, and beautification:	
	(a)	National Transportation Safety Board, Departm	ent of
		Transportation	
	(D)	Transportation Research (Secretary's Office), I	epart-
		ment of Transportationsport planning and development:	
3. A	ır tran	sport planning and development:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
to the same of	(a)	Civil Aeronautics Board	
	(17)	National Aeronautics and Space Administrative ==	
	(c)	National Transportation Safety Board, Departm	епг от
	الهالي أوادمت	TransportationFederal Aviation Administration, Department of	 Пторе_
1000	(a)	portation	Trans-
		Programment and Personal Development Development	ent of
	(e).	Procurement and Research Development, Department Defense	TOTE OF
March (1947)	7-41	Transportation Research (Secretary's Office), I	Depart-
en general a	e (4 () () (ment of Transportation	



C.	\mathbf{Tr}	ansporta	tion-	-Contin	ued			Impli	cations
	4	. Marine ment		inland	waterway	transport pla	anning and	develop	-
		(a) Wa	ter Resc	ources Coun	cil			. 8
	•	(b)) Ten	nessee	Valley Aut	hority			. 1
		(<i>c</i>)	Nat:	ionai Ti	ransportation	on Safety Bo	ard, Depart	ment of	
		(đ) U.S	. Coast	Guard. Der	partment of I	Transportatio	 าท	. 1,5
		(e) Cor	ps or m	igineers, De	epartment or .	Derense		. 1.8
		(<i>f</i>)	Tra	nsporta	tion Resear	rch (Secretai	ry's Office),	Depart-	•
	K	Traffic	m	ent of T	ransportati	on			. 8
	Ο.	(a) Nat	or saret, ional T	y : ransportati	on Safety Bo	oard Denari	ment of	,
			\mathbf{T}	ansport	ation				. 1.8
		(0)	Fed	eral Hig	ghway Adm	inistration, D)epartment o	f Trans-	
		(c)	Tra:	nsportai	tion Resear	rch (Secretar	rv's Office).	Depart.	1,8
			\mathbf{m}	ent of !	Transportat	tion			. 8
	6.				and safety				_
		(a)	Net Tr	ional T	ransportati ation	on Safety Bo	oard, Depart	ment of	
		(B)				ıfety (Federa	l Railroad	dminis-	1,2
			tr	ation),	Departmen	t of Transpor	tation		1
D.		ergy:	7 -1	411	- 6 mildi- 1 - 3 -				
	1.	Therma	n-etec	tric bisi	nt siting, de Volley Anth	sign, and oper pority	ation:		1
		(8)	\mathbf{Fed}	eral Pov	vaney Auti ver Commis	$sion_{}$			$\overset{1}{2}$
		(c)	Ator	nic Ene	ergy Comm	ission			1. 3
		(d)	Rur	al Elec	trification	Administrati	on. Departi	nent of	
	2	Electric	Aş DOW	gricuitu: Yr frans	re mission lin	e siting, desig	rn construct	 bee coi	. 1
		opers	tion :						
		(a)	\mathbf{Ten}	nessee T	Valley Auth	ority			1
		(6)	Fede	eral Po	wer Commi	ission			Ω
		(6)	BOLL	nevine	Power Adi	ninistration,	Department	or In-	1
		(d)	Sout	heaster	n Power A	dministration	, Departmen	t of In-	
		(e)	Sout	hwester	n Power A	dministration	, Departmen	t of In-	1
•		(<i>f</i>)	Bure	an of T	Reclamation	ı, Departmen	t of Interio	 r	1, 3
		(g)	Rura	al Elect	rical Admi	nistration, D	epartment of	of Agri-	1,0
	_		eu]	lture					1
	3.	Fossil fo	iel ex	ploratio	n, inventor	y, and researc	h:		
		(a)	Още	e of Co	and Gas, al Researc	Department h, Departme	or interior nt of Interi		1, 3 8
		(c)	Bure	au of M	lines, Depar	rtment of Inte	erior	V1	1, 3
		(<i>d</i>)	Geol	ogical 8	Survey, De	partment of .	Interior		ī, <u>ē</u>
		, (e)	Defe	nse Su	oply Agenc	y and militar	ry departme	its, De-	_
	4.	Heat ut	ilizati	on and	dispersion :				2
		(a)	Fede	ral Pov	ver Commi	ssion			2
	•	(b)	Aton	aic Ene	rgy Commi	ssion			1, 3
	ĸ	NTralogn	Office	of Co	al Research	h, Departmen	t of Interio	r	3
	o.	(a)	Tenr	i resear iessee V	en, developi ติNev Auth	ment, and ope ority	ration:		1
		(b)	$\mathbf{Fed}e$	ral Pov	ver Commi.	ssion			2
		(c)	Aton	ic Ener	rgy Commi:	ssion			1, 2, 3
		(a)	Defe	nse Sur	oply Agency	y and militar	y departmen	its, De-	
	6.	Hydroel	usy Petric	Dower Tower	research. A	levelopment,	and operative		. 2
	-•	(a)	Wate	er Reso	urces Coun	cil			` 3
	-	(b)	Tenn	essee V	alley Auth	ority			1
						ssion			. 2
		(a)	ERUGE.	ministro L'Ollivai	tion South	inistration, S hwestern Pow	outheastern	tration	
			$\widetilde{\mathbf{D}}_{ij}$	partmen	t of Interi	lor	ACT WATHING	,	1
		(e)	Bure	au of I	Reclamation	lor n, Departmen	t of Interior	r	1, 3
		(f)	Corp	s of Eng	ineers, Der	partment of D	efense		1

l. Minera	l resources o	conservation:	Implication
1. Mir	eral explora	tion and inventory:	
	(a) Tenne	ssee Valley Authority	
	(b) Atomic	c Energy Commission u of Mines, Department of In	nterior
	(c) Bureau	cical Survey, Department of I	Interior
	(a) Geolog	se Supply Agency and militar	
	(e) Determ	ment of Defense	y (cparement) 20
9 Ma	ursq. Qasar sleinat	rch and development:	
Z. M.	arran ararran. Penne	ssee Valley Authority	
	(h) Atomi	e Wherey (Commission	
	(c) Bures:	n of Mines. Department of Inte	Prior
	(d) Resear	rch and Development, Departm	ient or Defense
	(e) Defense	se Supply Agency and military (department, De-
	anart:	ment of Defense	
3. So	iđ wastē sto	rage, recycling, and disposal:	
	(a) Eurea	n of Mines. Department of inte	erior
4. Mi	ning technol	logy research, and developmen	ic:
	(a) Tenne	ssee Valley Authority	of Intonion
	(b) Office	of Coal Research, Department	erior
	(c) Eurea	u of Mines, Department of Into u of Outdoor Recreation, Depa	rtment of Interior
g 361	(a) Burea	etion research and developmen	it:
5. M1	nerai extrac	essee Valley Authority	
	(h) Office	of Coal Research, Department	of Interior
	* *		
	III. EI	VIRONMENTAL HEALTH AND WE	LL-BEING
Dhweid	logical healf	th and well-being:	
	nitation :	·	•
2, 20	(a) Feder	al Water Pollution Control	Administration, De-
	month:	mont of Interior	
	(b) Public	Health Service, Health, Educ	ation, and Welfare_
	(c) Envir	onmental Science Services Adm	iinistration, Depart-
		t of Commerce	**************************************
2. Ra	diation cont	rol:	•
	(a) Feder	al Radiation Council	2==n2=q==n2+====
	(b) Atom	ic Energy Commission national Organizations and C	enformaca Donost
	(c) Interi	ational Organizations and C	onierences, Depart-
	men	it of Statec Health, Educa	ation, and Welfare
	(a) Publi	of Civil Defense, Department	of Defense
O T	eo Comce	domestic health and safety:	OI Delembersans
3. 1n	austrai and	Coast Guard, Department of T	ransportation
	(A) Duna	nn of Minos Dongrtment of Int	erior
	(a) Public	c Health Service, Health, Educ	ation, and Welfare_
	(d) Farm	ers Home Administration, Der	partment of Agricul-
	ture	·	
	(e) Consu	imer and Marketing Service, I	Department of Agri-
	cult	ure	
4. E	ıvironmenta	l health protection:	
	(a) Feder	ral Water Pollution Control .	Administration, De-
	***	tmant of Intorior	
	(b) Publi	c Health Service, Health, Educ	ation, and wellare_
<u> </u>	(c) Office	of Civil Defense, Department	of Defense
5. C	ntagious di	sease control:	astion and Welfere
A -	(a) Publi	c Health Service, Health, Educ	sation, and Westates
6. P	est control:	au of Sport Fisheries and Wile	dlife. Department of
	(b) Acris	eultural Research Service, Dep	partment of Agricul-
		eultural Research Service, Dep	
7 🖾	armin hara har	lation	
4. D	(a) Bure	on of Narcotics. Treasury Dept	artment
,	(a) Date	and Drug Administration, He	alth, Education, and
_	We	lfare	
	(o) Consi	amer and Marketing Service,	Department of Agri-
		51re	



A. Physic	ogical health and well-being—Continued od control: (a) Tennessee Valley Authority (b) Bureau of Reclamation Department of Interior
O. D.K	Implie
	(a) Tennessee Valley Authority
	(v) Dureau of rectamation, Department of Interior
	(c) Corps of Engineers, Department of Defense
	(d) Farmers Home Administration, Department of Agricul-
	(e) Soil Conservation Service, Department of Agriculture
9. Na	ral disaster warning and relief:
o. 11a	(a) Small Business Administration
	(a) Small Business Administration
	 (b) U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Transportation (c) Bureau of Commercial Fisheries, Department of In-
	terior
	(d) Public Health Service, Health, Education and Welfare
	(e) Corps of Engineers, Department of Defense
	(f) Environmental Science Services Administration, Depart-
	ment of Commerce
	(g) Federal Crop Insurance Corporation, Department of
	Agriculture
	(h) Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service. De-
	partment of Agriculture
10. Po	ulation control:
	(a) Bureau of Census, Department of Commerce
. Psychol	gical health and well-being:
1. Noi	suppression:
	(a) Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Trans-
0. D.1	portation
z. Rei	of of acoustic, mechanical, and interpersonal tension stresses:
	(a) Federal Communications Commission
	(b) Federal Railroad Administration, Department of Trans-
	portation
	(c) Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation
	(d) Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Trans-
	tation
	(e) Land and Facilities Development Administration, Hous-
	ing and Urban Development
3. Pro:	Otion of community identity:
	(a) Federal Housing Administration, Housing and Urban
	Development
	b) Office of Community Development, Housing and Urban
-	Development
4. Pop	lation dispersion:
	(a) Federal Railroad Administration, Department of Trans-
	portation
	(b) Federal Housing Administration, Housing and Urban
	Development
5. Env	ronmental education:
. =	a) smithsonian Institution
	b) International Ogranization and Conferences, Department
	of State
	c) Office of Water Resources Research, Department of
	Interior d) Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, De-
	bederai water Polition Control Administration, De-
	partment of Interiore) Office of Information, Department of Agriculture
	IV. PROMOTION OF AMENITIES
Outdoor	ecreation :
1. Publ	c recreation planning and development:
	a) Water Resources Council
	b) Tennessee Valley Authority
	c) U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Transportation
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	c) U.S. Coast Guard, Department of Transportationd) Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, De-
	partment of Interior
and the second of the second o	e) Bureau of Reclamation, Department of Interior



Implications

A.	Outdoor rec	reation—Continued
	- 1 Public re	creational planning and development—Continued
	· (f)	National Park Service, Department of Interior
	(a)	Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Department of
		Tatomion
	(h)	Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, Department of Interior
	7.25	Durger of Indian Affairs: Denartment of Interior
	7.25	Days out of Land Management Department of Interior ==
	/ 7-1	Corns of Engineers, Dengriment of Deleuse
	(20)	Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, De-
	(6)	partment of Agriculture
	A 77711 3 3	
	2. Wila lai	ds preservation: National Park Service, Department of Interior
	(a)	Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Department of
	(0)	Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wilding, Department of
		Interior Percentian Department of Interior
	(c)	Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, Department of Interior_
	3. Sport fis	h and wildlife conservation:
	(a)	Tennessee Valley Authority
	73.	Burgan of Reclamation. Denartment of Interior=====
	(c)	Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlie, Department of
		Intonion ——————————————————————————————————
	(d)	Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, De-
		nartment of Agriculture
	4. Conserv	ation and promotion of ustural beauty:
	/ i= 1	- FD
	7.5.1	Dunger of Cutdoor Recreation. Denartment of Interior-
	/ a \	-3.5.45.65.61
	(A)	Durgen of Indian Affairs, (Jedartment of Indexion———
	(w)	Bureau of Land Management, Department of Interior_
P	Cultural sti	mulation:
р.	1 Tichen b	equitification:
	(a)	National Capital Planning Commission
	(<i>u</i>)	Office of Urban Technology and Research, Housing and
		TIbase Therefore 2014
	4-5	Model Cities Administration, Housing and Urban Devel-
	(0)	Model Cities Administration, 110dsing that
	6.93	opment Land and Facilities Development Administration, Hous-
	(a)	ing and Urban Development
		ing and Urban Development on Housing and Urban
	(e)	Renewal Assistance Administration, Housing and Urban
		Development
	2. Historic	preservation:
	(a)	reservation: Tennessee Valley Authority
	(አነ	Notional Canital Planning Commission
	(a)	Historical and memorial commissions
	(A)	National Park Service, Department of Interior
	(e)	Land and Facilities Development Administration, Hous-
		ing and Urban Development
	(f)	Renewal Assistance Administration, Housing and Urban
		To
	(n)	Housing Assistance Administration, Housing and Ur-
		han Development
	2. Enhanc	ament of architectural and engineering design:
	(a)	Rederal Highway Auministration, Department of Trans
	(α,	nortation
	/ 3 .1	portation
	\ \frac{0}{-3}	National Park Service, Department of Interior
	4 7/6-1	ongo of continuity of environmental associations:
	4. Maintei	Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Department of
	(a)	Interior
		Interior Department of Interior
	(6)	National Park Service, Department of Interior
	(o)	Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, Department of Interior.
	(d)	Bureau of Land Management, Department of Interior
	(e)	Model Cities Administration, Housing and Orban Dever-
		opment



	5. Provision for diversity of experience and life style: Implication
	(a) Tennessee Valley Authority
	(a) Tennessee Valley Authority(b) National Park Service, Department of Interior
	(o) Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Department of
	Interior
	Interior
	(e). Bureau of Land Management, Department of Interior
	V. ECOLOGICAL RESEARCH
A.	Human ecology:
	1. Basic research in human ecology:
	(a) Smithsonian Institution(b) National Science Foundation(c) Appalachian Regional Commission
	(b) National Science Foundation
	(c) Appalachian Regional Commission
	(d) Federal Field Committee for Development Planning in
	Alaska
	Alaska(e) National Aeronautics and Space Administration(f) Atomic Energy Commission
	(f) Atomic Energy Commission
	(g) International Organization and Conferences, Depart-
	(h) Bureau of Labor Statistics, Department of Labor 3
	ment of State
	(j) Office of Territories, Department of Interior 2
	(k) Public Health Service, Health, Education, and Welfare.
	(1) Research and Development, Department of Defense 2
	(m) National Bureau of Standards 3
	(n) Environmental Science Services Administration, Department of Commerce
	(a) Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Note. -Those sources which are marked by asterisk were screened for indicator words and phrases as part of the analysis leading to the environmental activity classification system developed in this paper.

A. Books

Commoner, Barry. Science and Survival. New York: The Viking Press, 1963. 150 pp.

A popularly written exposition of the impact of technology on the environ-

ment, with numerous examples

Ewald, William R., Jr. (ed.). Environment for Man: The Next Fifty Years. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1967. 308 pp.

A collection of essays on environmental planning for the future.

Sax, Joseph L. Water Law, Planning and Policy: Cases and Materials. New

York: Bobbs-Merrill Company, Inc., 1968. 520 pp.

A book of legal case materials for water resource law courses, including descriptive background on many recent resource development controversies. With Heritage So Rich. A report of a Special Committee on Historic Preservation under the auspices of the United States Conference of Mayors. New York: Random House, 1966. 230 pp.

A pictorial essay which expresses the viewpoint of environmental esthetic

enhancement, especially with regard to historic sites.

B. GOVERNMENT PUBLICATIONS

The Budget of the United States Government: Fiscal Year 1969. Washington: Government Printing Office, 1968. 556 pp., with appendix.

National Science Foundation. Weather Modification: Ninth Annual Report 1967.

Washington: Government Printing Office, 1968. 101 p.p.

A report on the current status of Federal programs concerning weather modification.

Office of Economic Opportunity. Catalog of Federal Assistance Programs. Washington: Government Printing Office, 1967. 701 pp.

A compendium of Federal assistance programs of all types with crossreferenced indexes, program descriptions, and citations to enabling acts.

*The President's Council on Recreation and Natural Beauty. From Sea to Shin-

ing Sea. Washington: Government Printing Office, 1968. 304 pp.

A discussion of current environmental problems and applicable Federal

and State orograms. Emphasis on urban problems and outdoor recreation. President's Science Advisory Committee. Restoring the Quality of Our Environment. Washington: Government Printing Office, 1965. 317 pp.

A report on air, water, and soil pollution problems with recommendations

for Federal action.

United States Congress, Joint Economic Committee. Federal Programs for the Development of Human Resources. A compendium of papers submitted to Subcommittee, 90th Congress, 2d Session. Washington: Government Printing Office, 1968. 684 pp.

A collection of papers in five volumes including material on health, hous-

ing, and environmental quality.

*United States Congress, Joint House-Senate Colloquium to Discuss a National Policy for the Environment. Hearing before the Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs and House Committee on Science and Astronautics, 90th Congress, 2d Session. Washington: Government Printing Office, 1968. 233 pp.

A hearing transcript including recent statements and papers by prominent

Federal and non-Federal commentators on environmental management.

United States General Services Administration. United States Government Organization Manual, 1968-69. Washington: Government Printing Office, 1968. 842 pp.

United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. A Strategy for a Livable Environment. Washington: Government Printing Office, 1967. 90 pp.

A task force report on environmental health problems with recommendations concerning air and water pollution, waste disposal, urban stress, consumer protection, radiation hazards, and occupational hazards.

*United States Department of the Interior. Quest for Quality. Washington: Gov-

ernment Printing Office, 1965. 96 pp.

A yearbook having special reference to environmental quality as it is related to the Department's programs.

-. The Population Challenge. Washington: Government Printing Office,

A yearbook relating the Department's programs to population pressures

and environmental quality.

- -. The Third Wave. Washington: Government Printing Office, 1967. 128 pp. A yearbook describing the modern conservation programs of the Department.
- Man-an Endangered Species? Washington: Government Printing Office, 1968, 100 pp.

A yearbook placing emphasis on ecological impact of the Department's

programs.

-. Surface Mining and Our Environment. Washington: Government Printing Office, 1967. 124 pp.

A report on strip mining by a departmental policy committee.

C. MISCELLANEOUS PUBLICATIONS.

*The Aspen Center for Environmental Studies. Summary of Proceedings: Seminar on Environmental Arts and Sciences. Boulder, Colorado: Thorne Ecological Foundation, 1967, 24 pp.

An interesting set of papers expressing the viewpoint of private con-

servationists on ecological preservation.

*The Electric Utility Industry and the Environment. A report to the Citizens Advisory Committee on Recreation and Natural Beauty. Privately printed by the Electric Utility Task Force on the Environment, 1967, 105 pp.

An industry-oriented discussion of environmental quality, primarily urban

area problems.

*National Academy of Sciences. Waste Management and Control. Washington: National Academy of Sciences, 1966, 257 pp.

A report on air, water, and land pollution with discussion of the problem. current legal, technical, and institutional constraints, and proposed approaches.

Nicholson, E. M. and A. W. Colling. Chart of Human Impacts on the Countryside. London: Secretariat, The Countryside in 1960 Study Conference, 1963. 51 pp. A chart form of classification intended to display human activities which have environmental impact, along with the nature, time, and agencies interested in the impact.

D. BIBLIOGRAPHIES

Ottersen, Signe Ruh. Readings on Natural Beauty: A Selected Bibliography. Washington: United States Department of the Interior, 1967.

E. PERIODICALS

Nelson, Bryce. "Congress: Toward a National Policy for the Environment," Science, Vol. 161, No. 3840, August 2, 1968, pp. 445-446.

A commentary on the joint House-Senate colloquium on environmental

policy.

*"Outlook: Status of Environmental Quality Legislation Measures," Environmental Science and Technology, Vol. 2, No. 10, October, 1968, pp. 755-758.

A discussion of legislation in the 90th Congress on pollution control and

other environmental issues.

F. UNPUBLISHED MATERIALS

*Edwards, Max N. "Challenge for a Better Environment." Paper read before the Fontana Conservation Roundup, Fontana, North Carolina, May 17, 1968.

A discussion of environmental policy and problems by the Assistant Secretary of the Interior for Water Pollution Control.

*Hummel, Don. "Engineer's Role in Socio-Economic Development of Cities." Speech before the National Society of Professional Engineers, Phoenix, Arizona, October 10, 1968.

A discussion of technological approaches to urban' environmental quality.

G. OTHER SOURCES

This material was further amplified by personal discussions between the author and Mr. William Van Ness, Special Counsel, Senate Interior Committee; Mr. Wallace E. Bowman, Legislative Reference Service, Library of Congress; Prof. Lynton K. Caldwell, Indiana University; and various officials of the Office of Science and Technology and the Department of the Interior.